



*FOOD MICROBIOLOGY
AND FOOD SAFETY*

William H. Sperber
Michael P. Doyle
Editors



**Compendium
of the Microbiological
Spoilage of Foods
and Beverages**

 Springer

Food Microbiology and Food Safety

Series Editor

Michael P. Doyle

Center of Food Safety, University of Georgia, Griffin, GA, USA

For other titles published in this series, go to
<http://www.springer.com/series/7131>

FOOD MICROBIOLOGY AND FOOD SAFETY SERIES

Food Microbiology and Food Safety publishes valuable, practical, and timely resources for professionals and researchers working on microbiological topics associated with foods, as well as food safety issues and problems.

Series Editor

Michael P. Doyle, *Regents Professor and Director of the Center for Food Safety, University of Georgia, Griffith, GA, USA*

Editorial Board

Francis F. Busta, *Director, National Center for Food Protection and Defense, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA*

Bruce R. Cords, *Vice President, Environment, Food Safety & Public Health, Ecolab Inc., St. Paul, MN, USA*

Catherine W. Donnelly, *Professor of Nutrition and Food Science, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA*

Paul A. Hall, *President, AIV Microbiology and Food Safety Consultants, LLC, Hawthorn Woods, IL, USA*

Ailsa D. Hocking, *Chief Research Scientist, CSIRO—Food Science Australia, North Ryde, Australia*

Thomas J. Montville, *Professor of Food Microbiology, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA*

R. Bruce Tompkin, *Formerly Vice President-Product Safety, ConAgra Refrigerated Prepared Foods, Downers Grove, IL, USA*

Titles

Compendium of the Microbiological Spoilage of Foods and Beverages, William Sperber and Michael Doyle (Eds.) (2009)

Effective Risk Communication, Timothy Sellnow, Robert Ulmer, *et al.* (2009)

Food Safety Culture, Frank Yiannas (2008)

Molecular Techniques in the Microbial Ecology of Fermented Foods, Luca Coccolin and Danilo Ercolini (Eds.) (2008)

Viruses in Foods, Sagar M. Goyal (Ed.) (2006)

Foodborne Parasites, Ynes R. Ortega (Ed.) (2006)

PCR Methods in Foods, John Maurer (Ed.) (2006)

William H. Sperber · Michael P. Doyle
Editors

Compendium of the Microbiological Spoilage of Foods and Beverages

Foreword by R. Bruce Tompkin

 Springer

Editors

William H. Sperber
Cargill, Inc.
Corp. Food Safety & Reg. Affairs
5814 Oakview Circle
Minnetonka MN 55345
USA
bill_sperber@cargill.com

Michael P. Doyle
University of Georgia
Center of Food Safety
1109 Experiment Street
Griffin GA 30223
Melton Building
USA
mdoyle@cfs.griffin.peachnet.edu

ISBN 978-1-4419-0825-4 e-ISBN 978-1-4419-0826-1
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-0826-1
Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2009929307

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Foreword

The increased emphasis on food safety during the past two decades has decreased the emphasis on the loss of food through spoilage, particularly in developed countries where food is more abundant. In these countries spoilage is a commercial issue that affects the profit or loss of producers and manufacturers. In lesser developed countries spoilage continues to be a major concern. The amount of food lost to spoilage is not known. As will be evident in this text, stability and the type of spoilage are influenced by the inherent properties of the food and many other factors.

During the Second World War a major effort was given to developing the technologies needed to ship foods to different regions of the world without spoilage. The food was essential to the military and to populations in countries that could not provide for themselves. Since then, progress has been made in improved product formulations, processing, packaging, and distribution systems. New products have continued to evolve, but for many new perishable foods product stability continues to be a limiting factor. Many new products have failed to reach the marketplace because of spoilage issues.

Disruptions in the food supply are more severely felt by countries that depend on readily available low-cost food. For example, the increased diversion of corn to produce fuel, in combination with other factors, led to higher food prices after 2007 and reduced the ability of international agencies with limited budgets, e.g., the Food and Agriculture Organization, to provide food assistance. In addition, certain countries limited exports to ensure a stable food supply for their populations. This experience demonstrates the dependence of many countries on assistance to bolster their food supply and the significance of barriers to international trade.

The world's population continues to increase. In 1960, 1980, and 2000 the population was estimated to be 3.0, 4.5, and 6.1 billion, respectively. It is projected to reach 6.9 and 9.5 billion by 2010 and 2050, respectively.¹ To provide for the population increase, improvements in food production and protection against spoilage will be required.

¹ U. S. Census Bureau. (2008). International database. Total midyear population for the world: 1950–2050. Accessed on 24 September 2008. <http://www.census.gov/ipc/www/idb/worldpop.html>

Food production, processing, and distribution systems generally fall into two categories: large or small scale. Large-scale systems incorporate new technologies more quickly and can lead to innovations that bring products of greater variety and convenience to consumers. This segment of the industry is generally more highly regulated and its suppliers are frequently audited by large corporations. Proper coding and inventory control is essential to minimize product loss due to spoilage. Sell-by or use-by dates are commonly applied to indicate the date the food will be acceptable and to facilitate traceability. Larger companies strive to improve control of their incoming raw materials and processing and packaging conditions to ensure compliance with their code-dating procedures and in some cases further delay spoilage. Products that exceed the sell-by or use-by dates are discarded by retailers. The amount discarded is documented by the retailer and can influence future negotiations between supplier and retailer. Continued spoilage problems can lead retailers and others to discontinue the item.

Manufacturers may apply special procedures that enable them to meet the expected demand for their perishable products at certain holidays. For example, this could involve accumulating and holding certain perishable foods at temperatures closer to freezing. As the holiday approaches, the food is released for shipment to retailers. Success requires knowledge of the product, the impact of lowering storage temperature on microbial growth, and validation that the procedure will be successful. Failure to validate the procedures can lead to significant financial losses during a critical season and temporary loss of consumer confidence.

Another characteristic of large-scale systems is that processing occurs in fewer facilities and the products are shipped longer distances. While this may be economically beneficial for the manufacturer, greater control must be exercised to transfer food from the manufacturer to the ultimate user without spoilage.

Considerable advances have been made in delaying or preventing spoilage. For example, this writer spent about 40% of his time solving spoilage issues associated with raw and cooked perishable meat and poultry products from the mid-1960s to the early 1990s. The collective effect of the improvements, for example, in processing conditions, formulation, packaging, control of temperature, and efforts to control *Listeria* and *Salmonella* reduced this time to well below 5%.

It is of interest that as the quantity of foods produced on a larger scale has increased, there is a desire by some consumers to return to foods produced on a smaller, more local scale. This desire is based on the perception that the foods are fresher, less processed, and more wholesome. It has not been documented, however, whether this approach results in a greater or lesser amount of food lost through spoilage on a worldwide basis.

Smaller scale systems are slower to accept and may even reject new technologies. The smaller businesses generally lack the technical knowledge and support available in larger companies. Thus, it is not surprising that many of the authors are involved with large companies and have collaborated with other experts in preparing this text.

Preface

Protection of foods and beverages from microbiological spoilage is essential to assure an adequate food supply for the world's population. Several generations of food microbiologists have labored to understand food spoilage and to develop control procedures for its prevention. Because many of these highly experienced food microbiologists are at or near retirement age, we were motivated to organize this *Compendium* in an effort to document and preserve as much of their accumulated knowledge and wisdom as possible. We are pleased that many expert food microbiologists eagerly agreed to contribute to this effort. To our knowledge, this is the first reference and textbook focused exclusively on the microbiological spoilage of foods and beverages.

We also think that this *Compendium* is necessary now because the resources of the food industry and academia have increasingly become focused on food safety initiatives over the past 30 years. To a significant extent, resources previously available to develop an understanding and the means to control food spoilage have been shifted into food safety programs. The emergence of prominent foodborne pathogens, such as *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Campylobacter*, combined with increased competition for limited financial resources, has resulted in decreased attention being given to food spoilage research. Global public health issues such as bovine spongiform encephalopathy and avian influenza H5N1, and their potential impacts on the food supply, have further reinforced the shift toward "mission-oriented" research. The increased number of potential microbiological food safety issues affecting the food supply also fueled a substantial increase in the number of food safety regulations and policies, both at the national and the international levels. Moreover, food regulatory actions are almost always related to food safety controls and requirements, thereby commanding a larger share of the food industry's technical resources to assure regulatory compliance.

The shift in emphasis from food quality research toward various types of food safety programs is understandable and necessary. This shift, however, is not as counterproductive for food quality and spoilage research as might first be suspected. The implementation of numerous new food safety control procedures and regulations can also help to reduce food spoilage and protect product quality through its shelf life as they also provide greater assurance of food safety. For example, pasteurization treatments intended to eliminate pathogens in raw milk also significantly

enhance the quality and shelf life of fluid milk. In fact, the unanticipated enhancement of product quality was a very strong selling point in gaining the food industry's acceptance of the hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) system of food safety management in the 1970s. Because of the successful development of HACCP, there remains today a very strong link between food quality and food safety control measures.

We are further motivated to develop this Compendium because, ultimately, the control of food spoilage means more than simply providing high quality, convenient, processed foods for consumption in economically developed regions of the world. We must think about feeding people in every region of the world. Food spoilage is a significant threat to food security, our ability to provide an adequate food supply to a large and increasing global human population. Shrinking fossil fuel and water reserves, soil erosion, loss of soil fertility, climate change, and political uncertainty are important factors that collectively threaten food security. If food spoilage and other factors that contribute to the waste of food could be substantially reduced, we would be able to feed more people without increasing primary food production. In the opinion of a former World Health Organization official, "This large increasing world population needs food and we have a moral obligation to utilize all our skills and technologies to increase not only food production but also *to limit food spoilage* (italics added for emphasis)."¹ Together with many of our colleagues, we share Dr. Käferstein's sense of professional responsibility. We anticipate that this *Compendium* will play a role in the global reduction of food spoilage and the accompanying enhancement of food security.

In 1958 professor William C. Frazier first published his widely used textbook, *Food Microbiology*. His comprehensive yet concise explanations of food spoilage and food safety were prominent features in the education of several generations of food microbiologists, including this *Compendium's* editors. It is our sincerest hope that this *Compendium* will provide similar benefits to future generations of food microbiologists.

Minnetonka, MN, USA
Griffin, GA, USA

William H. Sperber
Michael P. Doyle

¹ Käferstein, F. K. (1990). Food irradiation and its role in improving food safety and the security of food. *Food Control* 1, 211–214.

Contents

Foreword	v
Preface	vii
Contributors	xi
Introduction to the Microbiological Spoilage of Foods and Beverages	1
William H. Sperber	
Microbiological Spoilage of Dairy Products	41
Loralyn H. Ledenbach and Robert T. Marshall	
Microbiological Spoilage of Meat and Poultry Products	69
John Cerveny, Joseph D. Meyer, and Paul A. Hall	
Microbiological Spoilage of Fish and Seafood Products	87
Lone Gram	
Microbiological Spoilage of Eggs and Egg Products	121
Joseph R. Shebuski and Timothy A. Freier	
Microbiological Spoilage of Fruits and Vegetables	135
Margaret Barth, Thomas R. Hankinson, Hong Zhuang, and Frederick Breidt	
Microbiological Spoilage of Canned Foods	185
George M. Evancho, Suzanne Tortorelli, and Virginia N. Scott	
Microbiological Spoilage of Cereal Products	223
Frederick K. Cook and Billie L. Johnson	
Microbiological Spoilage of Beverages	245
Kathleen A. Lawlor, James D. Schuman, Peter G. Simpson, and Peter J. Taormina	
Microbiological Spoilage of Acidified Specialty Products	285
William H. Sperber	

Microbiological Spoilage of High-Sugar Products 301
Sterling Thompson

Microbiological Spoilage of Spices, Nuts, Cocoa, and Coffee 325
Joan M. Pinkas, Karen Battista, and Theodora Morille-Hinds

Index 351

Contributors

Margaret Barth Responsible Source, 350 Berkshire Drive, Lake Forest, IL 60045, USA, Margaret.barth@sbcglobal.net

Karen Battista Kraft Foods, 200 DeForest Avenue, East Hanover, NJ 07936, Karen.battista@kraft.com

Frederick Breidt USDA Agricultural Research Service, 322 Shaub Hall, Box 7624, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27603, USA, fred.breidt@ars.usda.gov

John Cerveny 17 Ridgeview Court, Apt. 7, Madison, WI 53704, USA, jcerveny@itis.com

Frederick K. Cook Malt-O-Meal Company, 701 West 5th St, Northfield MN 55057, fred_cook@malt-o-meal.com

George M. Evancho 19693 Marimar Court, Lewes, DE 19958-3500, USA, george_evancho@verizon.net

Timothy A. Freier Cargill, Inc., 15407 McGinty Road W., Wayzata, MN 55391, USA, tim_freier@cargill.com

Lone Gram National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Technical University of Denmark, Soltofts Plads Bldg 221, DK-2800 Kgs Lyngby, gram@aqua.dtu.dk

Paul A. Hall AIV Microbiology and Food Safety Consultants, LLC, 17 Tournament Drive South, Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047, USA, paul.hall@sbcglobal.net

Thomas R. Hankinson Produce Safety Solutions, Inc., 1120 Newark Road, Toughkenamon, PA 19374, USA, trhank@aol.com

Billie L. Johnson Menu Foods Midwest, 1400 East Logan Ave., Emporia, KS 66801, USA, bjohnson@menufoods.com

Kathleen A. Lawlor PepsiCo, Inc., 100 Stevens Avenue, Valhalla, NY 10595, USA, kathy.lawlor@pepsi.com

Loralyn H. Ledenbach Kraft Foods, Inc., 801 Waukegan Road, Glenview, IL 60025, USA, lharris@kraft.com

Robert T. Marshall University of Missouri, 122 Eckles Hall, Columbia, MO 65211, USA, marshallr@missouri.edu

Joseph D. Meyer Kellogg's, 919 Aldora Lane, Waunakee, WI 53597-3001, USA, joseph.meyer@kellogg.com

Theodora Morille-Hinds Kraft Foods, 555 South Broadway, Tarrytown, NY 10591, USA, tmorille-hinds@kraft.com

Joan M. Pinkas McCormick & Co. Inc., 204 Wight Avenue, Hunt Valley, MD 21031, USA, joan_pinkas@mccormick.com

James D. Schuman PepsiCo, Inc., 617 Main Street, Barrington, IL 60010, USA, jay_schuman@quakeroats.com

Virginia N. Scott U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD. 20740, jennyscott@verizon.net

Joseph R. Shebuski Cargill, Inc., 15407 McGinty Road W., Wayzata, MN 55391, USA, joe_shebuski@cargill.com

Peter G. Simpson The Coca-Cola Company, P. O. Box 1734, Atlanta, GA 30301, USA, pesimpson@na.ko.com

William H. Sperber Cargill, Inc., Corp. Food Safety & Reg. Affairs, 5814 Oakview Circle, Minnetonka MN 55345, USA, bill_sperber@cargill.com

Peter J. Taormina John Morrell & Co., 805 E. Kemper Road, Cincinnati, OH 45246, USA, ptaormina@johnmorrell.com

Sterling Thompson The Hershey Company, 1025 Reese Avenue, Hershey, PA 17033, USA, sthompson@hersheys.com

Suzanne Tortorelli Campbell Soup Company, 1 Campbell Place, Camden, NJ 08103, USA, suzanne_tortorelli@campbellsoup.com

Hong Zhuang Agricultural Research Service—USDA, Russell Research Center, 950 College Station Road, Athens, GA 30605, hong.zhuang@ars.usda.gov

Introduction to the Microbiological Spoilage of Foods and Beverages

William H. Sperber

Introduction

Though direct evidence of ancient food-handling practices is difficult to obtain and examine, it seems safe to assume that over the span of several million years, pre-historic humans struggled to maintain an adequate food supply. Their daily food needed to be hunted or harvested and consumed before it spoiled and became unfit to eat. Freshly killed animals, for example, could not have been kept for very long periods of time. Moreover, many early humans were nomadic, continually searching for food. We can imagine that, with an unreliable food supply, their lives must have often been literally “feast or famine.” Yet, our ancestors gradually learned by accident, or by trial and error, simple techniques that could extend the storage time of their food (Block, 1991). Their brain capacity was similar to that of modern humans; therefore, some of them were likely early scientists and technologists. They would have learned that primitive cereal grains, nuts and berries, etc. could be stored in covered vessels to keep them dry and safer from mold spoilage. Animal products could be kept in cool places or dried and smoked over a fire, as the controlled use of fire by humans is thought to have begun about 400,000 years ago. Quite likely, naturally desiccated or fermented foods were also noticed and produced routinely to provide a more stable supply of edible food. Along with the development of agricultural practices for crop and animal production, the “simple” food-handling practices developed during the relatively countless millennia of prehistory paved the way for human civilizations.

Less than 10,000 years of recorded history describes the civilizations that provided the numerous advances leading to our modern civilization. Chief among these advances were the development of agricultural and food preservation technologies that permitted large human populations to live permanently in one place and use their surplus time to develop the other technologies we enjoy today, such as writing

W.H. Sperber (✉)

Cargill, Inc., Food Safety & Reg. Affairs, 5814 Oakview Circle, Minnetonka, MN 55345, USA
e-mail: bill_sperber@cargill.com

this chapter on a laptop computer while sitting in a heated office on a Minnesota winter evening.

Yet, for most of this 10,000-year period, food preservation was accomplished by quite simple, but not completely effective, technologies. These typically involved the use of the techniques that had been put into practice countless years earlier – drying, salting, smoking, fermentation, and cool storage when possible. Only in the past 200 years of our long existence have we humans developed more advanced technologies for advanced food production, preservation, and distribution. Preservation of some foods by canning began in the early nineteenth century. In the middle of that century, Louis Pasteur and the first microbiologists began to understand and control the microbiological causes of disease, foodborne illness, and food spoilage.

Another century elapsed before the emergence of major advances leading to the widespread availability of fresh and processed foods. The most significant advances, after 1945, were the development of reliable mechanical refrigeration systems, logistical systems for the refrigerated transportation and distribution of food, and widely available home refrigerators and freezers. Numerous refinements continue to improve the microbiological quality of our food supply today. Additional refinements will certainly be made in the future.

In the past several decades, we have also made substantial improvements in food production and management systems. National governments and the food industry promulgated and implemented Good Manufacturing Practices (GMPs) in the United States, which are called Good Hygienic Practices (GHPs) in the rest of the world. In particular, those GMPs related to employee practices, sanitary design of food production facilities and equipment, and cleaning and sanitation procedures have improved food quality. Similarly, the HACCP (hazard analysis and critical control point) system, while developed to assure food safety, has also improved food quality. The HACCP system entails three broad and essential functions – product design, process control, and management accountability (Troller, 1993; Mortimore & Wallace, 1998). These topics will be handled in greater detail later in this chapter and in several of the following chapters.

Additional food regulations and industry practices have been implemented to reduce the public health threat posed by particular foodborne pathogens. While this compendium is focused solely on the microbiological spoilage of foods, regulations and practices that are used to improve public health protection against foodborne pathogens will also improve the microbiological quality of food, thereby reducing the incidence of microbiological spoilage and extending the shelf life of foods.

Food Loss Data

Despite the advanced technologies that support our modern civilization, a large proportion of our food supply is nevertheless lost to spoilage or otherwise wasted. The Economic Research Service (ERS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) has extensively documented the percentage of food losses in the food chain from primary production through consumption (ERS, 2005). This research was done

Table 1 Percent loss of the United States' food supply from primary production through consumption (abstracted from ERS/USDA, Feb. 1, 2005)

Commodity	Data for 2003 based on pounds per capita/year				Percent total loss
	Primary weight	Retail weight	Consumer weight	Consumed weight	
Meat, poultry, and fish					
Red meat	161	112	104	68	58
Poultry	113	71	66	41	64
Fish, shellfish	16	16	11	11	31
Grain and cereal products					
Sweeteners	142	142	126	101	29
Eggs and egg products	253	250	232	197	22
Dairy products					
Fluid milk, yogurt	194	194	171	137	30
Cheese	28.3	28.3	26	22.1	22
Frozen	26.7	26.7	23.5	18.8	30
Dried	3.8	3.8	3.3	2.6	30
Fats and oils					
Fats and oils	102	102	82	68	33
Fruits					
Fresh	127	121	106	53	58
Dried	10	2.4	2.2	2	80
Canned	17	13.4	12.6	11.3	33
Frozen	3.9	3.5	3.3	3	24
Vegetables					
Fresh	196	181	160	86	56
Frozen	79	39	37	26	67
Canned	101	47	44	40	60
Dried	16.9	2.3	2.2	2	88
Potato chips	17.2	4.3	4.1	3.7	79
Peanuts and tree nuts	9.3	9.3	8.8	7.9	15
Pounds/year	1811	1597	1396	1037	
Pounds/day	4.96	4.38	3.82	2.84	

to support the development of the Food Guide Pyramid (MyPyramid) serving sizes. The percent losses for all food categories during 2003 in the United States are summarized in Table 1. All data are presented as pounds per capita/year. The Primary Weight column refers to the product weight as it leaves the processing plant, for example, boned meat products, trimmed vegetables, etc. The retail weight is the amount of food purchased at retail, the consumer weight is the amount of food available for consumption at home or at food service establishments, and the consumed

weight is the amount of food actually eaten. Food losses can occur from insect or rodent damage, microbiological spoilage, chemical and physical spoilage, losses in transportation, further processing, product discarded at the end of shelf life, and plate waste. According to these data, about five pounds of food are processed each day for each person in the United States. Only about three pounds are consumed, indicating an average food loss for all categories of about 40%. ERS economists feel that the reported data tend to underestimate the actual amount of food losses.

It is not possible to tell from the current data what proportion of the food losses could be attributed to microbiological spoilage. According to ERS economists, this capability may be developed in the near future. Under any circumstances, it would be difficult to know the proportion of microbiological food spoilage with a high degree of precision. The World Health Organization estimated that in developing countries the loss caused by spoilage microorganisms ranges from >10% for cereal grains and legumes to as much as 50% for vegetables and fruits (Käferstein, 1990). The other food commodities fall within this range. Todd (1987) points out that worldwide postharvest food losses are caused more by insects and rodents than by microorganisms. Of course, microorganisms are still important in food losses, with fungi representing the most important group of spoilage microorganisms responsible for food losses.

Microorganisms and Mechanisms Involved in Spoilage

Sources of Contamination

Preharvest Contamination

The sources of microbiological contamination are practically everywhere in the earth's biosphere, in or on plants, animals, soil, and water. Many types of bacteria, such as pseudomonads, lactics, micrococci, and coliforms, grow readily on agricultural and horticultural plants. Many of these and other types of bacteria, particularly the enterics, also colonize animals, both on the skin or hide and in the gastrointestinal tract. The resident bacteria on both plants and animals can be carried along with the raw materials during harvest, slaughter, and processing and remain in the food products derived from these sources (Frazier, 1958).

Soil is an obvious source of contamination, as a diverse community of microorganisms – bacteria, yeasts, molds, actinomycetes, etc. – thrive in most soils and can grow to very large numbers. Direct contamination with soil microorganisms occurs during production and harvesting. Indirect contamination with soil occurs through the deposition of wind-borne dust particles. Wind-borne mold spores, for example, are a very common cause of mold spoilage of foods, as well as human allergies.

Water can serve as a source and a vector of contamination. Pseudomonads, in particular, grow well in surface waters, whereas the enteric bacteria are present in sewage and waters polluted with sewage. Water can serve as a vector of contamination, especially if polluted surface waters are sprayed onto crops for irrigation or used in primary produce processing.

Postharvest Contamination

Many raw materials and foods have a structural integrity that protects most of their mass from microbial contamination (Frazier, 1958). The endosperm of cereal grains is protected from contamination by a tough bran layer. The shells of eggs and nuts protect the interior of these foods. When intact, the skin or the rind that covers fruits and vegetables keeps the interior of the produce largely free from external contamination. Similarly, most animal flesh is sterile in its natural state, being protected by skin or hide. Therefore, most of the microorganisms in the raw materials of our food supply are present only on the exterior of the food or in the gastrointestinal tract in the case of animals. When you think about it, even the gastrointestinal tract is essentially outside of the animal as well. Therefore, living muscle tissues and other interior structures are usually sterile.

The first steps of primary processing violate the natural sterility of the interior parts of our raw food materials. The milling of cereal grains removes most of the exterior microorganisms with the bran, but some of these microbes will be relocated into the otherwise nearly microbe-free endosperm. Trimming, chopping, or crushing of fruits and vegetables will similarly contaminate the interior portions with those microorganisms existing on the exterior. The most prolific possibility of interior contamination exists in animal slaughter operations. The feces of animals contain exceedingly high numbers of microorganisms, $>10^{11}$ cells/g feces. If the gastrointestinal tract is not carefully removed during slaughter, very high contamination of the muscle tissue could occur. In the case of meat production, the first slaughter operations contaminate the surface of the exposed muscles to some extent. Further fabrication (cutting) of the carcass into prime cuts can spread the initial contamination across larger product areas. The grinding of meat will spread exterior contamination essentially throughout the entire muscle mass.

During further processing, additional contamination can occur when workers handle the food. Contamination can occur from unclean hands or gloves and uniforms. Human contamination of foods can also occur when talking, coughing, or sneezing creates aerosols. In-process foods can be further contaminated by cross-contamination with raw materials and by contact with unclean food-handling utensils and processing equipment. There are also several points of waterborne contamination in food-processing plants. The most direct means of potential contamination is the use of water as a food ingredient. If the food plant's water supply is not potable, significant contamination with spoilage microorganisms could occur. A major indirect source of waterborne contamination may exist during cleaning and sanitation operations, since the use of water is essential for most of these operations. The use of high-pressure hoses to clean floors creates aerosols containing bacteria that were present, and likely growing, on the floor or the process equipment. The bacteria-containing aerosols can drift through the air and directly contaminate raw materials and in-process foods if these are not removed or adequately protected before cleaning commences, or they can indirectly contaminate food after they are deposited on the food-processing equipment. Another inadvertent source of water contamination may be presented by condensate that is formed

in refrigeration units and can be spread by the ventilation systems in the food-processing plants.

Ecology of Microbiological Spoilage

The many kinds of microorganisms that can grow on food have evolved biochemical mechanisms to digest components of the food, thereby providing energy sources for their own growth. However, in a given type of food, usually only one or a few types of microorganisms will grow sufficiently well to become the predominant spoilage organisms (Mossel & Ingram, 1955). Parameters, such as pH, water activity, and storage temperature to name a few, exert intensive selective pressures on the original food microflora. The driving forces that guide the selection of predominant spoilage microorganisms will be detailed later in this chapter in sections “Intrinsic Factors to Control Microbiological Spoilage” and “Extrinsic Factors to Control Microbiological Spoilage.”

Microorganisms Involved in Spoilage

It is useful to consider the types of microorganisms involved in food spoilage in two ways. The first way is a consideration of laboratory tests and biochemical features that are used to broadly characterize and differentiate microorganisms. The second way is to describe the groups of similar microorganisms that are involved in food spoilage.

Means to Characterize and Differentiate Microorganisms

Morphology. A microscope was the first tool with which early microbiologists could begin to understand microorganisms. The microscope enabled the observation of the size and shape, or morphology, of microbial cells. Bacterial cells usually appear as cylindrical rods or spheres. The bacterial rods, often called bacilli, are typically about 1 micrometer (μm) in diameter and 2–6 μm long. The spherical cells, usually called cocci, are typically about 1 μm in diameter. Yeast cells are larger than bacterial cells. They are elliptical and usually about 3–5 μm long. Molds have two predominant morphological features: individual hyphae, which can collectively form a visible mycelial mat, and sporangia, which contain very high numbers of individual spores, each of which is capable of starting a new mold colony. The hyphae are about 15 μm in diameter and are of indefinite length. The individual spores are about 1 μm in diameter.

Gram stain. The Gram stain is a differential staining technique that permits the microscopic determination of a bacterium as either “Gram positive” or “Gram negative.” This procedure consists of four steps – initial staining with crystal violet, fixation with iodine, decolorization with ethanol, and counterstaining with safranin. A fundamental difference in the composition of cell walls of bacteria is responsible for the differential results of the Gram stain. Gram-positive cells are not decolorized

by ethanol and retain the original blue color of crystal violet. Gram-negative cells are decolorized by ethanol and take up the red color of safranin.

Ability to form endospores. Several genera of bacteria are able to form an internal structure, or endospore, that is very heat resistant and capable of surviving in quite adverse environments. Yeast and molds form spores, different from bacterial endospores, that are not significantly more heat resistant than their vegetative cells. However, the fungal spores help the fungi survive in dry environments.

Temperature relationships. The temperature range in which they can grow often characterizes microorganisms. Psychrophiles grow well at cold temperatures, as low as 0°C, and often cannot grow above 20°C. Thermophiles grow best at high temperatures, in the range from 45°C to 70°C. Mesophiles grow best at the intermediate temperatures between 20°C and 45°C. There have been some efforts to further divide the psychrophilic microorganisms by creating a category called “psychrotrophs,” but such a consideration is beyond the practical needs of this compendium.

Oxygen relationships. Aerobic microorganisms can grow in the presence of oxygen, while anaerobic microorganisms can grow in the absence of oxygen. The use of the term “obligate,” as in “obligate aerobe,” means that the microbe requires some level of oxygen for growth. The term “obligate anaerobe” refers to a microbe that cannot grow if any amount of oxygen is present. The term “microaerophilic” refers to microorganisms that can grow best when only a small amount of oxygen is available. Facultative with respect to oxygen, a term that applies to most microorganisms, refers to the ability to grow either with or without the availability of oxygen. Additionally, each food has a chemical oxidation–reduction (O/R) potential that is somewhat analogous to the situation described here for atmospheric oxygen content. Aerobic microorganisms grow best at positive O/R values, while obligate anaerobes require negative O/R values for growth.

Type of metabolism. Metabolically speaking, microorganisms can usually be characterized as having either an oxidative or a fermentative type of respiration for the production of energy. This trait is linked both to the oxygen relationships described above and to the evolutionary stature of the particular microorganism. Fermentative metabolism is a relatively primitive anaerobic process in which carbohydrates are metabolized to organic acids and alcohols. Oxidative metabolism is an advanced aerobic process in which carbohydrates may be completely metabolized to carbon dioxide and water. Microorganisms with this capability are usually oxidase positive, possessing the same intracellular electron transport system that is present in higher life forms, including humans. Microbes lacking this capability are often also catalase negative, lacking this enzyme to degrade peroxides that can be formed in anaerobic metabolism.

Water relations. The addition of solutes to a growth medium diminishes a microorganism’s ability to grow as the osmotic pressure of the medium is increased, and the water activity of the medium is reduced. Several terms describe microbes that can accommodate reduced water activities. “Osmophile” generally refers to any organism that grows at increased osmotic pressure, and specifically to yeasts that can grow at very high sugar concentrations. “Halophile” refers to organisms, usually